

interference, systematic accumulation and expansion of vocabulary, understanding the morphology of words, knowledge of the case system, understanding and correct application of perfect and imperfect verbs, the use of learned lexical units and grammatical structures at the level of automatism. To develop this knowledge and skills, a comprehensive systematic approach is required: a thorough study of phonetics, grammar and syntax within separate subjects. The use of the methods described above will contribute to the formation of the ability to operate the linguistic apparatus, which in turn forms linguistic competence.

### References:

- [1] Биркун, Л., & Кузло, Н. (2012). До питання визначення іншомовної лінгвістичної компетенції. / *международная научно-практическая Интернет-конференция «Диалог культур — диалог о мире и во имя мира»*. Retrieved from [http://www.confcontact.com/2012\\_10\\_24/4\\_birkun.htm](http://www.confcontact.com/2012_10_24/4_birkun.htm)
- [2] *Common European Framework of Reference for Languages: learning, teaching, assessment*. (2001). Retrieved from <https://rm.coe.int/1680459f97>
- [3] Румянцева Т. (2016). Формирование лингвистической компетенции иностранных студентов-медиков на материале грамматической категории рода. Retrieved from <http://www.dslib.net/teoriavospitania/formirovanie-lingvisticheskoy-kompetencii-inostrannyh-studentov-medikov-na.html>
- [4] Кірик, Т. В. (2005). Педагогічні засоби подолання фонетичної інтерференції у тюркомовних студентів в умовах вищого навчального закладу. Retrieved from [http://irbis-nbuv.gov.ua/cgi-bin/irbis\\_nbuv/cgiirbis\\_64.exe?Z21ID=&I21DBN=ARD&P21DBN=ARD&S21STN=1&S21REF=10&S21FMT=fullweb&C21COM=S&S21CNR=20&S21P01=0&S21P02=0&S21P03=A=&S21COLORTERMS=1&S21STR=Кірик%20Т.В.\\$](http://irbis-nbuv.gov.ua/cgi-bin/irbis_nbuv/cgiirbis_64.exe?Z21ID=&I21DBN=ARD&P21DBN=ARD&S21STN=1&S21REF=10&S21FMT=fullweb&C21COM=S&S21CNR=20&S21P01=0&S21P02=0&S21P03=A=&S21COLORTERMS=1&S21STR=Кірик%20Т.В.$)
- [5] Амеліна, С. М. (2014). Методика формування лексичної компетентності майбутніх філологів. *Вісник Дніпропетровського університету імені Альфреда Нобеля. Серія «Педагогіка і психологія». Педагогічні науки*, (2), 131-135. Retrieved from <https://pedpsy.duan.edu.ua/images/PDF/2014/2/23.pdf>
- [6] Игнатова, И. Б. (1997). Обучение грамматическому строю русской речи иностранных студентов-филологов как основа формирования языковой личности будущего учителя. Retrieved from <http://nauka-pedagogika.com/viewer/5009/d?#?page=1>

**DOI 10.36074/21.08.2020.v2.35**

## METHODS OF COLLECTING MATERIAL FOR SPEECH DESCRIPTION

ORCID ID: 0000-0002-4661-4302

**Tetiana Hromko**

Candidate of Philological Sciences,  
Associate Professor at the Department of Ukrainian Language  
*Central Ukrainian State Pedagogical University*

*UKRAINE*

Methodology of descriptions of dialect still continues to be a "white spot" in its theoretical sphere: works on this issue are practically absent, range of methods of description of any language formation is not formed, there is no their single interpretation and systematic exposition (which is not identical to typological).

Some observations in the direction of description are presented in the works of prominent linguists of past centuries e.g. W. Humboldt, E. Sepir. Considering individual fundamentals' positions of linguistic science, define the main of them:

1. The choice of the object to be studied should be based on the ability to document all units of the language system.

2. Collecting of material is complicated, on the one hand, «due to its specifically transient nature» [5] and on the other - absolutely consuming recording of natural, unforced texts in terms of the contact picker with representatives of the community, a member of which he does not have (their range is wide - from representatives of other professions, social groups to family groups, ritual ceremonies), and in the situations of open «fixing language in its synchronic cut» [Ibid].

3. The analysis of the material is complicated by the choice of methods of this «multifaceted object of study, difficulties in distinguishing between social and individual determinants of language» [5], other factors influencing native speakers, which characterizes the study as «too empirical» [2].

Axiomatic is the scientific statement that the objectivity and reliability of the collected dialect data directly depends on the methods of their collection, undoubtedly known to dialectology as a branch of linguistic science. Nowadays foreign (R. I. Avanesov, O. Y. Blinova, T. S. Kogotkova, B. A. Larin, I. A. Ossovetsky, O. E. Kibrik, etc.) and Ukrainian (Y. O. Dzendzelovsky, M. V. Nikonchuk, G. L. Arkushin) dialectologists have already developed general principles for collecting dialect material.

The definition of linguistic objects for research on the basis of speech discourse can be reduced to two types of linguistic personalities, which can be methodologically qualified as model constructs – real and "collective" (sociolinguistic groups) linguistic personalities [6]).

When collecting the material of speech as a linguistic phenomenon, we have models of two types of linguistic facts – real and artistic. Thus, the collection of linguistic facts of speech discourse is a continuous or limited certain conditions sample of the studied units of analysis. This, in our approach, memoirs and sources and private documents and real or completed questionnaires are "collective" products of dialect speech.

The amount and nature of information about the current state of speech is determined by the degree of proximity of the researcher to the selected object. During direct communication with native speakers it is possible as a direct observation of the way of life, actions, circle of communication, etc. respondents, as well as collecting material of all types of broadcasting, attracting data from other sources, etc.

Among modern methods of collecting language material, the most covered is continuous observation - universal for describing speech of any type (it is not only language material, fixation of "language life", but also worldview spectrum, extralinguistic vision, etc.), including speech, because it provides a high degree of reliability of the conclusions, despite the complexity of use.

The main method of collecting, for example, lexical material is inclusion in linguistic existence. Active in the typological description of speech, this method is additional to mono-verbal description.

These methods are complemented by traditional methods of observation without complicity, direct questioning, linguistic interviewing, testing, which help to collect material from a particular language system.

The collection of material can also be done by various experimental methods, which are usually focused on obtaining specific, but, unfortunately, little studied in the field of dialectal information from native speakers and literary language. This is an associative experiment (allocation of the core of the lexicon of speakers), an experiment of creation by speakers of spoken texts (oral and written – works, translations), an experiment analysis of literary, folklore works of various genres, concluded by speakers, which serve as material for further analysis.

---

In our opinion, just an initial linguistic isolation language units from the text is not enough for descriptive lexicographing [1]. In the full description of speech on the basis of collected data in the form of texts of different volumes, precedent texts, fixations of spontaneous speech, it is mandatory to include in the full dictionary of speech: a) words in their traditional sense; b) word forms ("grammatical constructions" [7], [4]) and collocation speech (words and forms of words that convey the basic content of the text) and opportunistic speech units that do not transmit content of reported information and help structure the discourse (insert, modal words, conjunctions, particles, "verbalized pauses of hesitation") [3]; 3) non-verbal sound elements, such as laughter, coughing, "nonverbal hesitations".

Thus, these methods of collecting of material make it possible to quickly obtain purposefully grouped data on the required linguist in the problem: the definition of linguistic objects and linguistic facts in a sample of the studied units of analysis; using modern methods of collecting of linguistic material: continuous monitoring, including language in existence, experimental methods, etc., and the reception along with observation with no interacting, direct surveys, interviews, testing. From the methods of collecting and fixing language units depends on embracing descriptive lexicographing dialect as the language system.

In our opinion, only the primary linguistic isolation of language units from the text is insufficient for descriptive lexicography [1]. In the full description of speech on the basis of collected data in the form of different volumes of texts, precedent texts, fixations of spontaneous speech, it is mandatory to include in the full dictionary of speech: a) words in their traditional sense; b) word forms ("grammatical constructions" [7], [4]) and collocations (speech (words and word forms that convey the main content of the text) and conditional speech units that do not convey the content of the message, but help to structure the discourse (insert , modal words, conjunctions, particles, as well as so-called "verbalized pauses of hesitation") [3], c) non-verbal sound elements, such as laughter, coughing, "nonverbal hesitations".

Thus, these methods of collecting material make it possible to quickly obtain purposefully grouped data on the problem needed by the linguist: the definition of linguistic objects and linguistic facts in a sample of the studied units of analysis; use of modern methods of collecting language material: continuous observation, inclusion in language existence, experimental methods, etc., as well as methods of observation without complicity, direct questioning, linguistic interviewing, testing. The comprehensiveness of descriptive lexicography of speech as a language system depends on the method of collecting and fixing language units.

### References:

- [1] Громко, Т. В. (2017). Матеріали до словника українських говірок. *Наукові записки*, Кропивницький, (160), 239-253.
- [2] Гумбольдт, В. (1985). *Язык и философия духа*. (пер. с нем.). Москва: Прогресс.
- [3] Асиновский, А. С. (ред.) (2007). *Полевая лингвистическая практика: учебно-методический комплекс сложной структуры*. (1). Санкт-Петербург: Филол. фак. СПбГУ.
- [4] Рахилина, Е. В. (2010). *Лингвистика конструкций*. Москва: Азбуковник.
- [5] Сепир, Э. (1993). *Избранные труды по языкознанию и культурологии* (пер. с англ.). Москва: Прогресс: Универс.
- [6] Шмелев, Д. Н. (1989). *Язык и личность*. Москва: Наука.
- [7] Tomasello, M. (2003). *Constructing a Language: A Usage-Based Theory of Language Acquisition*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.